

# MATH 8100 COURSE NOTES I: THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL

J.H.G. FU

**Introduction.** The Riemann integral is conceptually the simplest approach to the integral. Nevertheless a closer look at it leads to some ideas that indicate a more penetrating view. These ideas will occupy us for most of the semester.

**0.1. Definition of the Riemann integral.** A **partition** of a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$  is a finite subset of  $[a, b]$  that contains both endpoints. We will always index the elements of a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  in increasing order, writing e.g.

$$\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{Q} \supset \mathcal{P}$  is another partition of  $[a, b]$  then we say that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a **refinement** of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $f$  be a bounded function on  $[a, b]$ . Given a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  as above, we put

$$U(f, \mathcal{P}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_{i-1}) \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f,$$
$$L(f, \mathcal{P}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_{i-1}) \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f,$$

the **upper** and **lower Riemann sums** for  $f, \mathcal{P}$ . Clearly

$$U(f, \mathcal{P}) \geq L(f, \mathcal{P}). \tag{1}$$

**Lemma 1.** (1) *If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{P}$  then*

$$U(f, \mathcal{Q}) \leq U(f, \mathcal{P}), \quad L(f, \mathcal{Q}) \geq L(f, \mathcal{P})$$

(2) *Given any two partitions  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  of  $[a, b]$ ,*

$$L(f, \mathcal{P}) \leq U(f, \mathcal{Q}).$$

*Proof.* To prove part (1) we may assume that  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains exactly one more point than  $\mathcal{P}$ , in which case it is obvious.

To prove (2), let  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  refines both  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , so by part (1) and equation (1)

$$U(f, \mathcal{P}) \geq U(f, \mathcal{R}) \geq L(f, \mathcal{R}) \geq L(f, \mathcal{Q}).$$

□

Put  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  for the infimum of the set of all  $U(f, \mathcal{P})$  as  $\mathcal{P}$  ranges over all partitions of  $[a, b]$ , and similarly  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  for the supremum of the  $L(f, \mathcal{P})$ . By part (2) of Lemma 1,

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

If these two quantities agree then we put  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  for their common value, and say that  $f$  is **Riemann integrable**.

**Proposition 2.**  *$f$  is Riemann integrable iff for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[a, b]$  such that*

$$U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is Riemann integrable. Then there are partitions  $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}$  such that

$$\int f - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f, \mathcal{Q}) \leq U(f, \mathcal{R}) < \int f + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Taking  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\int f - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f, \mathcal{Q}) \leq L(f, \mathcal{P}) \leq U(f, \mathcal{P}) \leq U(f, \mathcal{R}) < \int f + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

The converse is obvious. □

**0.2. Continuity, discontinuity and oscillation.** For  $x \in [a, b]$ , put

$$\text{osc}(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[ \sup_{(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)} f - \inf_{(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)} f \right].$$

The limit exists since the quantity in brackets decreases monotonically as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Observe that  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  iff  $\text{osc}(x) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $c < x < d$  then*

$$\sup_{(c,d)} f - \inf_{(c,d)} f \geq \text{osc}(x).$$

**Lemma 4.**  *$\text{osc}$  is upper semicontinuous, i.e. if  $x_i \rightarrow x$  then*

$$\text{osc}(x) \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{osc}(x_i).$$

*Equivalently,  $\text{osc}^{-1}[c, \infty)$  is a closed set for every  $c \geq 0$ .*

**0.3. Measure zero and outer measure.** We say that a subset  $S \subset [a, b]$  has **measure zero** if, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $\alpha_i < \beta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$  such that

$$S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) < \epsilon.$$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $S$  has measure zero and  $S' \subset S$ , then  $S'$  has measure zero too.  $\square$*

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ . If each  $S_i$  has measure zero then so does  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $\alpha_{ij} < \beta_{ij}$  so that

$$S_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) < 2^{-i}\epsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then  $S \subset \bigcup_{i,j} (\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij})$ , with

$$\sum_{i,j} (\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) = \sum_i \sum_j (\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) < \sum_i 2^{-i}\epsilon = \epsilon.$$

$\square$

More generally, the **outer measure** of a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is defined to be

$$m^*(S) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) : S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \right\}.$$

Thus  $S$  has measure zero iff  $m^*(S) = 0$ .

**Exercise.**

$$m^*(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) : S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \right\}.$$

**Proposition 7.** *If  $S'$  has measure zero then  $m^*(S \cup S') = m^*(S)$ .*

#### 0.4. Characterization of Riemann integrable functions.

**Theorem 8.** *The bounded function  $f$  is Riemann integrable iff the set of points of discontinuity of  $f$  has measure zero.*

*Proof.* Put  $D$  for the set of discontinuities of  $f$ , and  $D_n := \{x : \text{osc}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Thus  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ . By Lemmas 5 and 6,  $D$  has measure zero iff every  $D_n$  does.

Suppose first that  $f$  is Riemann integrable. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. By Prop. 2, there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$ . Let  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_N, \beta_N]$  be the intervals of  $\mathcal{P}$  whose interiors meet  $D_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\epsilon}{n} > U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sup_{(\alpha_j, \beta_j)} f - \inf_{(\alpha_j, \beta_j)} f \right) (\beta_j - \alpha_j) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^N (\beta_j - \alpha_j) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Prop. 7 that  $m^*(D_n) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrarily chosen, we conclude that  $D_n$  has measure zero. Since this is true for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the same is true of  $D$ .

Suppose next that  $D$  has measure zero. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and let  $n_0 > \frac{2(b-a)}{\epsilon}$ . By Lemma 4, the set  $D_{n_0}$  is a compact set of measure zero. Hence there is a *finite* cover  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_N, \beta_N)$  of  $D_{n_0}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N (\beta_i - \alpha_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$ , where  $C := \sup |f|$ . On the other hand, every point  $x \in [a, b]$  that does not lie in one of these intervals has  $\text{osc}(x) < \frac{1}{n_0}$ , and hence admits a neighborhood  $(\gamma_x, \delta_x)$  such that  $\sup_{(\gamma_x, \delta_x)} f - \inf_{(\gamma_x, \delta_x)} f < \frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ . Since the set of such  $x$  is compact, we may cover it by finitely many such intervals.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the partition of  $[a, b]$  consisting of all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  above that lie in  $[a, b]$ . Then every interval of  $\mathcal{P}$  is included either in an interval  $(\gamma, \delta)$  or an interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  (or both). Let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the family of intervals of  $\mathcal{P}$  of the latter type, and  $\mathcal{J}$  the rest. Thus every interval of  $\mathcal{J}$  is included in an interval  $(\gamma, \delta)$ . Then, denoting by  $|I| := d - c$  the length of an interval  $I = [c, d]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (\sup_I f - \inf_I f) |I| + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} (\sup_J f - \inf_J f) |J| \\ &\leq 2C \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I| + \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} |J| \\ &< 2C \frac{\epsilon}{4C} + \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} (b-a) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is Riemann integrable, by Prop. 2. □

A careful look at the proof above reveals

**Corollary 9.** *If  $m^*({x : \text{osc}(x) \geq B}) \geq A$ , then  $\overline{\int} f - \underline{\int} f \geq AB$ .*