

THE *abc* CONJECTURE, PART I  
 February 10, 2003

1. RATIONAL FUNCTIONS ON  $\mathbf{P}^1$

Let  $f : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a rational function defined over the complex numbers, i.e.,

$$f(t) = \frac{a(t)}{b(t)},$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbf{C}[t]$  are coprime polynomials with  $b(t) \neq 0$ .

The *degree* of  $f$  is  $\max \deg(a), \deg(b)$ .

This can be rewritten in homogeneous form as

$$f(x : y) = (a(x, y) : b(x, y)),$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$  are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree  $d$ . These homogeneous and non-homogeneous forms are basically equivalent, so we can think of  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  as the field of rational functions on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ .

If  $P \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$  and  $f \in \mathbf{C}(z)$ , we define  $\text{ord}_P(f)$  to be the order of zero or pole of  $f$  at  $P$ . If  $P = z_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ , then  $\text{ord}_{z_0}(f)$  is the unique integer  $m$  such that  $f(z)/(z - z_0)^m$  is analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ . For  $P = \infty$ , we define  $\text{ord}_\infty(f(z)) = \text{ord}_0(f(1/z))$ . It is easy to see that if  $f = a/b$  in lowest terms, then  $\text{ord}_\infty(f) = \deg(b) - \deg(a)$ .

For all points  $P$ , we have  $\text{ord}_P(fg) = \text{ord}_P(f) + \text{ord}_P(g)$  and  $\text{ord}_P(1/f) = -\text{ord}_P(f)$  for all rational functions  $f, g$ .

For  $Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , we define the *valency*  $e_Q(f)$  to be

$$e_Q(f) = \text{ord}_Q(f - f(Q))$$

if  $f(Q) \neq \infty$ , and to be  $\text{ord}_0(1/f)$  if  $f(Q) = \infty$ .

A point  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$  is called a *critical point* of  $f$  (or a *ramified point*) if  $e_Q(f) > 1$ . If  $f$  is nonconstant, then basic facts from complex analysis imply that  $Q$  is a critical point of  $f$  if and only if  $f$  fails to be injective in any neighborhood of  $Q$ .

A *critical value* of  $f$  is the image under  $f$  of a critical point.

Critical points and critical values are the analogues of ramified prime ideals (upstairs and downstairs) in the number field case.

The valency  $e_{z_0}(f)$  can be interpreted when  $z_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $f(z_0) \in \mathbf{C}$  as the unique positive integer  $e$  such that

$$f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^e g(z)$$

with  $g(z)$  analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ .

**Exercise:** Use the chain rule to show that for all  $f, g \in \mathbf{C}(z)$  and all  $Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , we have

$$e_{fg}(Q) = e_f(g(Q))e_g(Q).$$

Deduce in particular that if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}(z)$  are Mobius transformations, then

$$e_{f \circ \alpha}(Q) = e_f(\alpha(Q))$$

and

$$e_{\beta \circ f}(Q) = e_f(Q).$$

The first fundamental fact about rational functions is that if  $P \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , then  $f(Q) = P$  always has exactly  $\deg(f)$  solutions in  $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , counting multiplicities. More precisely:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathbf{C}(t)$  be a nonconstant rational function, and let  $P \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ . Then*

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}) : f(Q) = P} e_Q(f) = \deg(f).$$

*Proof.* Exercise. □

This result should remind you of the formula  $\sum_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} e_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} = [L : K]$  for number fields. Here the curve  $\mathbf{P}^1$  plays the role of a number field, and points of  $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$  play the role of maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (or more suggestively, of places of  $K$ ).

In particular, we obtain the following corollaries:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f \in \mathbf{C}(t)$  is nonconstant, then the number of zeros of  $f$  equals the number of poles of  $f$  (counting multiplicities).*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $f \in \mathbf{C}(t)$  is a nonconstant rational function and  $P \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , then  $\text{ord}_Q(f) = 1$  for all  $Q \in f^{-1}(P)$  iff  $\#f^{-1}(P) = \deg(f)$ .*

If  $\text{ord}_Q(f) = 1$  for all  $Q \in f^{-1}(P)$ , we say that  $f$  is *unramified* above  $P$ . Otherwise, we say that  $f$  is *ramified* above  $P$ .

It will be convenient to have a criterion for when a map  $f$  is ramified at a given point  $Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ . We do this under some additional hypotheses, and leave the general case for the reader to work out.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $Q \neq \infty$  and  $f(Q) \neq \infty$ , then  $f$  is ramified at  $Q$  iff  $f'(Q) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that

$$f'(t) = \frac{b(t)a'(t) - a(t)b'(t)}{b(t)^2}.$$

Let  $f(Q) = \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ . By definition,  $Q$  is ramified iff  $e(Q) > 1$ , which (since  $Q \neq \infty$ ) occurs precisely when  $a(t) - \gamma b(t)$  has  $Q$  as a multiple root, i.e., when  $a'(Q) - \gamma b'(Q) = 0$ . Substituting  $\gamma = f(Q) = a(Q)/b(Q)$  and using the assumption that  $b(Q) \neq 0$ , we see that this happens iff  $f'(Q) = 0$ .  $\square$

In particular, we have:

**Corollary 3.** *A nonconstant function has only finitely many critical points.*

For each point  $Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ , define a normalized absolute value on  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  by  $\|f\|_Q = e^{-\text{ord}_Q(f)}$ . Then we have  $\log \|f\|_Q = -\text{ord}_Q(f)$ , so that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})} \log \|f\|_Q = 0,$$

which is the function field analogue of the product formula.

If we now consider the function

$$h(f) = \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})} \log \max\{\|f\|, 1\},$$

then we see that  $h(f)$  simply counts up the number of poles of  $f$ , with multiplicity. Therefore we have

$$h(f) = \deg(f).$$

So the “height” in our function field situation is just the degree of a rational function.

## 2. RIEMANN-HURWITZ AND MASON’S THEOREM

Another fundamental formula in function fields is the *Riemann-Hurwitz formula*:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathbf{C}(z)$  is a nonconstant rational function, then*

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})} (e_Q(f) - 1) = 2 \deg(f) - 2.$$

As there are only finitely many critical points of  $f$ , the potentially infinite sum in the statement of the theorem is indeed finite.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $Q \neq \infty$ ,  $f(Q) \neq \infty$ . We want to express the quantity  $e(Q) - 1$  in terms of the derivative  $f'(z)$  of  $f(z)$ . For this, write  $Q = z_0$ ,  $e = e_Q(f)$ , and then by definition,

$$f(z) - f(z_0) = (z - z_0)^e g(z)$$

where  $g(z)$  is analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ .

Then by the product rule,

$$f'(z) = e(z - z_0)^{e-1} g(z) + (z - z_0)^e g'(z),$$

so that  $f'(z)/(z - z_0)^{e-1}$  is analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ , and therefore  $\text{ord}_Q(f') = e_Q(f) - 1$  as desired.

If we write  $f(z) = a(z)/b(z)$  with  $a, b$  coprime polynomials and recall that

$$f'(z) = \frac{h(z)}{b(z)^2},$$

where  $h(z)$  is the polynomial  $b(z)a'(z) - a(z)b'(z)$ , then we can rephrase this as saying that if  $Q \neq \infty$ ,  $f(Q) \neq \infty$ , then

$$(1) \quad \text{ord}_Q(h) = e_Q(f) - 1.$$

If  $Q \neq \infty$  but  $f(Q) = \infty$ , we claim that formula (1) holds as well. For this, we recall that in this case,  $e = e_Q(f)$  is defined by saying that

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-e} g(z)$$

with  $g(z)$  analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ . This is equivalent to having

$$b(z) = (z - z_0)^e \tilde{g}(z)$$

with  $\tilde{g}(z)$  analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ .

Therefore

$$f'(z) = -e(z - z_0)^{-e-1} g(z) + (z - z_0)^{-e} g'(z),$$

so that

$$h(z) = b(z)^2 f'(z) = -e(z - z_0)^{e-1} g(z) \tilde{g}(z)^2 + (z - z_0)^e g'(z) \tilde{g}(z)^2$$

and therefore  $\text{ord}_Q(h) = e_Q(f) - 1$  as claimed.

Since  $\sum_{Q \in \mathbf{C}} \text{ord}_Q(h) = \deg(h)$ , it follows that we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})} (e_Q(f) - 1) = (e_\infty(f) - 1) + \deg(h).$$

It remains to calculate  $e_\infty(f)$ .

By definition, we have

$$e_\infty(f) = e_0(f(1/z)).$$

If we write  $f(1/z) = \tilde{a}(z)/\tilde{b}(z)$ , with  $\tilde{a}(z), \tilde{b}(z)$  coprime polynomials, then by our previous calculations,

$$e_0(f(1/z)) - 1 = \text{ord}_0(\tilde{h}(z)),$$

where

$$\tilde{h}(z) = \tilde{b}(z)\tilde{a}'(z) - \tilde{a}(z)\tilde{b}'(z).$$

On the other hand, if  $d = \deg(f) = \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\}$ , then it is easy to see that we can take  $\tilde{a}(z) = z^d a(1/z)$  and  $\tilde{b}(z) = z^d b(1/z)$ . (The key point is that these polynomials must be coprime, which we leave as an exercise.)

Since

$$\tilde{a}'(z) = dz^{d-1}a(1/z) + z^d \frac{d}{dz}a(1/z) = dz^{d-1}a(1/z) + z^d(-1/z^2)a'(1/z)$$

and similarly for  $\tilde{b}'(z)$ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\tilde{h}(z) = -z^{2d-2}h(1/z),$$

so that

$$e_\infty(f) - 1 = \text{ord}_0(\tilde{h}(z)) = (2d - 2) - \deg(h).$$

The result now follows from (2).  $\square$

Define the *radical* of a nonconstant rational function  $f$  to be

$$r(f) = \#f^{-1}(0) + \#f^{-1}(1) + \#f^{-1}(\infty).$$

We have as a consequence of Riemann-Hurwitz:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant rational function. Then*

$$\deg(f) \leq r(f) - 2.$$

An example which shows that this is sharp is the function  $f(t) = t^n$ , for which  $\deg(f) = n$  and  $r(f) = 1 + n + 1 = n + 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d = \deg(f)$ . Noting that

$$\sum_{f(Q)=P} (e_Q(f) - 1) = d - \#f^{-1}(P),$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -2 &= -2d + \sum_{Q \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})} (e_Q(f) - 1) \\ &\geq -2d + \sum_{Q \in f^{-1}(0)} (e_Q(f) - 1) + \sum_{Q \in f^{-1}(1)} (e_Q(f) - 1) + \sum_{Q \in f^{-1}(\infty)} (e_Q(f) - 1) \\ &= -2d + (d - \#f^{-1}(0)) + (d - \#f^{-1}(1)) + (d - \#f^{-1}(\infty)) \\ &= \deg(f) - r(f). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Now suppose  $a(t), b(t), c(t)$  are nonzero polynomials. We define the height  $h(a, b, c)$  of  $(a, b, c)$  to be the maximum of the degrees of  $a, b, c$ , and we define the radical  $r(a, b, c)$  of  $(a, b, c)$  to be the number of *distinct roots* in  $\mathbf{C}$  of the product  $abc$ .

We deduce the following theorem, which is the function field version of the *abc* conjecture.

**Corollary 4** (Mason's theorem). *If  $a(t), b(t), c(t) \in \mathbf{C}[t]$  are relatively prime nonzero polynomials with  $a(t) + b(t) = c(t)$ , then*

$$h(a, b, c) \leq r(a, b, c) - 1.$$

A silly example which shows this is sharp is

$$t^n + 1 = (t^n + 1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(t) = a(t)/c(t), g(t) = b(t)/c(t)$ , so that  $f(t) + g(t) = 1$ . We have  $\deg(f) = \max\{\deg(a), \deg(c)\}$  by definition. Furthermore, the relation  $b(t) = c(t) - a(t)$  precludes the possibility that  $\deg(b) > \max\{\deg(a), \deg(c)\}$ . Therefore  $\deg(f) = \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b), \deg(c)\} = h(a, b, c)$ .

We now compare radicals. Since  $a, b, c$  are relatively prime in pairs, we have (for  $t \in \mathbf{C}$ ) that  $f(t) = 0$  iff  $a(t) = 0$ ,  $f(t) = 1$  iff  $b(t) = 0$ , and  $f(t) = \infty$  iff  $c(t) = 0$ . Therefore  $r(f) = r(a, b, c) + 1$  (resp.  $r(f) = r(a, b, c)$ ) if  $f(\infty) \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  (resp.  $f(\infty) \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ).  $\square$

As an application, the reader is invited to prove a version of Fermat's Last Theorem for polynomials in  $\mathbf{C}[t]$ .